

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/688,386 | 10/16/2003 | Erich Seuzach Klaeui | 015258-061400US | 2244 |
| 20350 | 7590 01/25/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| | D AND TOWNSEND AT | DONDERO, WILLIAM E | | |
| TWO EMBA | RCADERO CENTER | | ſ - | |
| EIGHTH FLO | OOR | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 | | | 3654 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Office Action Summany | 10/688,386 | KLAEUI ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | William E. Dondero | 3654 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE. | L. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U S C & 133) | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | · | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-5 is/are withdrawn f 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/16/2003. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species IV (Figure 4, Claims 1 and 6-10) in the reply filed on November 25, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 2-5 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on November 25, 2005.

Priority

If applicant desires to claim the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), a specific reference to the prior-filed application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) must be included in the first sentence(s) of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications.

If the instant application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the

Art Unit: 3654

application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120. 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge

Application/Control Number: 10/688,386 Page 4

Art Unit: 3654

under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if

the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Page 5

Claim Objections

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: the second "in" in line 3 before wireless should be - - a - -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the electromagnetic energy" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the thread drum" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claims 7, 9, and 10, the phrase "in particular" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Art Unit: 3654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weiland (USPN 4807450). Regarding Claim 1, Weiland discloses a thread carrying apparatus 13 at which a thread 10 lies in contact or passes along with friction in the operating state, with the thread carrying apparatus including excitation means 15 for producing oscillations which reduce the frictional force between the thread and the thread carrying apparatus, characterized in that a resonator body 13 is provided at which the thread lies in contact or passes along with friction in the operating state, with the resonator body being designed in such a manner that the excitation means produce resonant structural sound oscillations in the resonator body (Figure 1 and Column 2, Line 43 – Column 4, Line 2). Regarding Claims 6, Weiland further discloses electromagnetic energy for the excitation of at least one oscillating element can be coupled in a wireless manner by means of a transmission device (Figure 1 and Column 3, Lines 5-7). Regarding Claim 9, the resonator of Weiland's thread carrying apparatus is formed by a thread deflection apparatus 13 of a textile knitting machine (Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiland as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lincke (USPN 4744394). Regarding Claim 7, Weiland is silent about the resonator body being formed by a thread drum of a drum store of a textile weaving machine. However, Lincke discloses a thread drum 11 of a drum store 1 of a textile weaving machine with magnetic inserts 116, 117 (Figures 1 and 2 and Column 2, Lines 39-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Lincke's weaving thread drum and magnets as the resonator body and excitation device, respectively, to produce resonant structural oscillations in the drum reducing the friction between the drum and thread as taught by Weiland thereby increasing the speed and efficiency of the loom.

Regarding Claim 10, Weiland is silent about a textile weaving machine in combination with the threading carrying apparatus. However, Lincke discloses a thread drum 11 of a drum store 1 of a textile weaving machine with magnetic inserts 116, 117 (Figures 1 and 2 and Column 2, Lines 39-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Lincke's weaving

Art Unit: 3654

thread drum and magnets as the resonator body and excitation device, respectively, to produce resonant structural oscillations in the drum reducing the friction between the drum and thread as taught by Weiland thereby increasing the speed and efficiency of the loom.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiland as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Steiner et al. (USPN 5947162). Weiland is silent about the resonator body being formed by a threading guiding element of a weaving rotor of a multiple phase weaving machine. However, Steiner et al. disclose a thread guiding element 4 of a weaving rotor 2 of a multiple phase weaving machine (shown but not numbered). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the thread guiding element of Steiner et al. as the resonator body as taught by Weiland to reduce the friction between the yarn and the guide thereby increasing the speed and efficiency of the loom.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kleesattel is cited for disclosing a vibration mechanism. Saito, Saito et al., and Kojima et al. are cited for disclosing a ultrasonic oscillation device reducing the friction between a material being guided and the guiding surface.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Dondero whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/688,386

Art Unit: 3654

272-5590. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00 am to 4:30 pm.

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on 571-272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wed

KATHY MATECKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600